

Vortex-enhanced alternating order around impurities in antiferromagnets

Ruggero Vaia

*Istituto dei Sistemi Complessi, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche,
via Madonna del Piano 10, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy*

Alessandro Cuccoli

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Firenze e Unità CNISM,
via G. Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy*

It has been recently pointed out that the presence of a nonmagnetic impurity in a Heisenberg antiferromagnet generates an alternating order of the surrounding spins which is independent of temperature in a wide range. Quantum Monte Carlo simulations in the two-dimensional $S = 1/2$ case confirmed this picture, but showed a counterintuitive enhancement of the alternating order around the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition. We propose here an explanation in terms of the effect of vortex excitations.

In a study of the effects of impurities in Heisenberg antiferromagnets, Eggert, Syljuåsen, Anfuso, and Andres [1] used an effective model in order to derive a universal expression for the function $\langle n_z(r) \rangle$ describing the local alternating magnetization induced around a vacancy at site $\mathbf{r} = 0$ by a uniform magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ applied along the z -axis. Basically, $\langle n_z(r) \rangle$ behaves as $e^{-r/\lambda}$ and the main point of interest lies in the fact that the characteristic length $\lambda(T)$ is actually *independent* of the temperature T , as they also checked in a wide temperature range by quantum Monte Carlo simulations of the two-dimensional $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet. In addition, they point out an ‘*exotic effect*’ observed in their simulations: when T steps over the estimated Kosterlitz-Thouless temperature T_{KT} the temperature behavior of the induced alternating order is nonmonotonic, i.e., $\lambda(T)$ first *increases* and displays a little bump before being progressively reduced as non-linear thermal fluctuations rapidly destroy long-range correlations. The last sentence of Ref. [1] claims that this feature is ‘counterintuitive and calls for further investigation’. Here we aim indeed at giving an explanation in terms of the effects of vortex excitations.

In what follows all the symbols, if not explicitly defined, will have the same meaning as in Ref. [1].

The ingredients of the effective model of Ref. [1] are the spin stiffness ρ_s and what we here call the effective elastic constant $G = B^2/4zJ \equiv G_u$. The latter is obtained by studying the stability of the *uniform* spin-flop configuration, and it comes out that $\lambda = \sqrt{\rho_s/G}$.

However, if we allow for a ‘vortex’ configuration the in-plane components are nonuniform, their orientation being described by a local azimuthal angle φ_i . By following the same path of Ref. [1], after setting

$$(s_i^x, s_i^y) = \pm s \cos(\alpha \pm \delta) (\cos \varphi_i, \sin \varphi_i)$$

and $s_i^z = s \sin(\alpha \pm \delta)$ for the two sublattices ($\pm$), the single-vortex correction to the effective energy

$$\delta E_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{K} (\cos 2\alpha + \cos 2\delta) \mathcal{L},$$

is obtained [2]; in the last equation we have set

$$\mathcal{K} = zJs^2/2 \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2zN} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [1 - \cos(\varphi_i - \varphi_j)] \\ &= \frac{1}{8N} \int_1^R d^2(r-r_0) |\nabla \varphi(r)|^2 = \frac{\pi}{4N} \ln R, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from using the continuum limit for a single vortex centered in $\mathbf{r}_0$, so that $|\nabla \varphi(r)| = 1/|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0|$; πR^2 represents the lattice surface available for the free-vortex. Therefore, the full effective energy becomes

$$E_v = -\mathcal{K}(1 - 2\mathcal{L}) - 2\mathcal{K}\mathcal{L} \sin^2 \alpha + 2\mathcal{K}(1 - \mathcal{L}) \sin^2 \delta - Bs \cos \alpha \sin \delta;$$

minimization with respect to the canting angle δ gives $4\mathcal{K}(1 - \mathcal{L}) \sin \delta = Bs \cos \alpha$, and the resulting effective energy acquires the same form obtained in Ref. [1] but for the effective elastic constant that now reads:

$$G = \frac{G_u}{1 - \mathcal{L}} - 2\mathcal{K}\mathcal{L} \equiv G_v,$$

with a relative deviation from the uniform case given by

$$\frac{\delta G}{G} \simeq - \left[\left(\frac{2zJs}{B} \right)^2 - 1 \right] \mathcal{L}.$$

For $T < T_{KT}$ vortices are tightly bound in pairs and $R \simeq 1$, so that $G_v \simeq G_u$. At T_{KT} the first vortex-antivortex pair unbinds and $R \simeq \sqrt{N}$. A rapid deviation of G from the uniform value G_u appears and the effect reported in Ref. [1] can be reasonably observed. Indeed, the parameter values used in the inset of Fig. 2 of Ref. [1], $N = 128^2$ and $B/J = 0.2$, give $\mathcal{L} \simeq 3.8 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $2zJs/B \simeq 20$, resulting in $\delta G/G \simeq -0.15$ and eventually $\delta \lambda/\lambda \simeq +0.075$, a variation that is compatible with what was observed in [1]. The initial increase of the characteristic length λ at T_{KT} can thus be reasonably traced back to free-vortices softening the elastic force that induces the spin-flop configuration.

A more quantitative description of this effect is apparently complicated and beyond the scope of this note. Nevertheless, one can figure out that the exponentially fast decrease of the in-plane correlation length $\xi(T)$ above

T_{KT} would very soon impose an upper bound to λ inducing its progressive decrease as temperature is further raised.

-
- [1] S. Eggert, O. F. Syljuåsen, F. Anfuso, and M. Andres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 097204 (2007).
 [2] When there are more than one free vortex (or antivortex)

in a dilute gas approximation the respective contributions simply add, and $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow n\mathcal{L}$, n being the total number of vortex-like excitations.